

Application Packet/ Application Review Process	<p>One candidate was disqualified this year for not submitting copy of Grant Deed with application. Should our procedure be revised to open and review applications for completeness as received to allow applicants to correct omissions (as we do with the Candidate Statements)?</p> <p>Replace Election Calendar for Candidates with more succinct Key Dates Document shared at Info Forum this year?</p> <p>Continue to include questions for TD News Article in the packet?</p>	<p>Yes. Process will be revised to allow committee to open and review applications when received and provide feedback to candidate re: any issues to be rectified before the deadline for submission.</p> <p>Yes. As above</p> <p>Yes.</p>
TD News Candidate Introduction Article (June)	<p>Revise questions that have been used now for 2 years? Solicit questions from membership like we do with Candidate’s Night?</p> <p>Timing OK?</p>	<p>Yes. Consider soliciting member input.</p> <p>Good</p>
Candidate Photos, Introductory Videos and Candidate Statements	<p>Continue to have video and candidate photos self-produced with only technical assistance from Marketing?</p> <p>Updates in instructions needed?</p>	<p>Plan to offer candidates the choice (if doable next year) of having Marketing coordinate or self-producing with written guidance.</p> <p>Probably not – will review</p>
Candidate Web Pages	<p>Any changes recommended in layout, content or timeline for submission?</p>	<p>Unless candidates request changes, none needed</p>
Dedicated Election E-Mails and Candidate Personal E- Mail Blasts	<p>Being sensitive to member input re: barrage of e-mail communications, do we want to consider changes to any part of this engagement strategy?</p>	<p>As above. Unless candidates request changes, stay with what we have.</p>

<p>Sharing of Member List as Required by SB 323</p>	<p>How many individuals requested the list? Reasons given? Process/communication strategy changes given confusion re: ramifications of ‘opting out’.</p>	<p>8 members requested the list for campaign purposes.</p> <p>Discussion underway with legal to add language to request form stating that list will not be shared after obtained.</p> <p>Will develop specific clear language for TD News and Election website when we launch ‘Opt Out’ campaign next season.</p>
<p>EC Sponsored Candidate Engagement Activities</p> <p>Meet and Greets & Candidate’s Night Forum</p>	<p>Move to making all of these events virtual?</p> <p>Recommendation from BRL to do one 2-hour Meet and Greet and two 90-minute Candidate’s Night Forums, occurring every other Saturday during election cycle.</p> <p>Also recommended that we use an editorial advisory group to vet member questions submitted for bias, construction quality and salience. Composed of individuals who are knowledgeable about Association issues, not <i>non-partisan</i>, but also not actively supporting an individual candidate</p> <p>Improve timing system so that candidates are not verbally interrupted by moderator with 60 and 30 second warnings.</p>	<p>Probably, but will wait candidate feedback.</p> <p>As above. Will consider</p> <p>Questions remain about recruiting and vetting such an advisory group and timing of getting such a review done and questions out to candidates with a very compressed timeframe.</p> <p>Agreed. Will develop a system.</p>
<p>Ballot Preparation/Mailing Process</p>	<p>Timing workable for us and mailing house?</p> <p>Notice of Annual Meeting – formatting issues (hyphens)</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Formatting issue – Lindsay is aware and will fix.</p>

	Change ballot color?	Perhaps. Kudos to marketing for branding of all election related materials in the same color to differentiate.
Replacement Packet Requests/Issues	<p>Robin mailed 192 replacement ballot packets; Bette hand delivered 8 additional to members at Northwoods. Several members requested duplicates after mailed ballots not received in a timely manner.</p> <p>How does this compare to last year?</p> <p>E-Mail replacement ballots to be mailed or over-night delivered as we close to the deadline for submission?</p> <p>A few replacement ballots disqualified because did not include legible name and TD address on return envelope, thus preventing us from assuring 1 vote per parcel.</p>	<p>No exact numbers available from last year, but definitely more.</p> <p>Brent approved of doing this this year. Would not like to get into that business and advertise as an option, but will keep as an option .</p> <p>Include a sticker/note with replacement ballots reminding of requirement for address and signature.</p>
Ballot Counting & In-Person Voting	<p>REINFORCE Photo ID Requirement for in-person voting.</p> <p># of walk-in ballots on Friday and Sunday</p>	<p>Big Signage in front of Northwoods on in-person voting days.</p> <p>Friday 146; Sunday 59</p>
Other	<p>Committee role and membership</p> <p>Candidate feedback – Laura</p>	<p>Discussed</p> <p>Respond and reach out for interview.</p>