

## **TOS REGULAR MEETING MINUTES 1/3/24 - DRAFT**

### **TOS Members:**

Karen Aaron  
VJ Bonnard  
Richard Bothwell  
David Cahill  
Gaye Dana – Vice Chair  
Carol Lindsay – Secretary  
Kevin O’Neil  
Livia Quan  
Ellen Raynor  
Graham Reid  
Peter Sawyer – Chair  
Julie Thornton

### **Staff/Liaisons:**

John Groom - Staff Lead  
Benjamin Levine – BOD Liaison  
Laura Lindgren - LRPC Liaison  
Leslie Loveland - Trails Manager  
Annie Rosenfeld – Interim General Manager

### **Guests:**

Nan Meek - Dog Subcommittee

## **I. Opening Business**

- The meeting was called to order by Peter Sawyer – Chair.
- There were no member comments.
- The committee received reports from the board and LRPC liaisons.
- By consensus, the committee approved the December 2023 meeting minutes.

## **II. Elections**

- Peter Sawyer was reelected for Chair by acclamation.
- The committee deferred election of Vice-Chairs to a later date. Gayle Dana agreed to continue to serve in the interim, and Graham Reid will speak to Peter about potentially standing for election to Vice-Chair.

### **III. 2024 TOS Task List**

Before the meeting, Peter, Benjamin, John Groom and Annie Rosenfeld prepared an updated draft 2024 task list incorporating feedback from the December board meeting. After discussion, by consensus, the TOS approved the 2024 Task List.

### **IV & V. Dog Subcommittee Report**

Following questions and discussion about the report and the dissenting opinion, Peter moved that the Dog Subcommittee Report 2023 and the dissenting opinion be sent to the BOD as is. Seconded by Carol Lindsay. The motion was approved 7 to 4, with 1 absence (Raynor). No Votes: Bothwell, Dana, O'Neil, Quan.

Per Resolution 2021-5, reasons for no votes included the following:

- The view that the substance of the dissenting opinion should have been incorporated into the report.
- The view that the matrix was not accurate and could be construed as biased.
- Disagreement with the way the purpose and task were formulated in the Dog Management Subcommittee Charter.
- The view that the TOS, as the overseeing Committee, should have had the opportunity to make corrections and other edits to the report.
- The view that the TOS rushed acceptance of the report for the sake of "moving on" from the task.
- The view that the neither the report's production process nor the resulting document met an appropriate standard to merit support.

### **VI. Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 5:00 PM.